REMARKS ON SQUARE FUNCTIONS IN THE LITTLEWOOD-PALEY THEORY

SHUICHI SATO

We prove that certain square function operators in the Littlewood-Paley theory defined by the kernels without any regularity are bounded on L_w^p , $1 , <math>w \in A_p$ (the weights of Muckenhoupt). Then, we give some applications to the Carleson measures on the upper half space.

1. Introduction

In this note we shall prove the weighted L^p -estimates for the Littlewood-Paley type square functions arising from kernels satisfying only size and cancellation conditions. Suppose that $\psi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies

(1.1)
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \psi(x) \, dx = 0.$$

We consider a square function of Littlewood-Paley type

$$S(f)(x) = S_{\psi}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |\psi_{t} \star f(x)|^{2} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/2},$$

where $\psi_t(x) = t^{-n} \psi(t^{-1}x)$.

If ψ satisfies, in addition to (1.1),

$$(1.2) |\psi(x)| \le c(1+|x|)^{-n-\epsilon} for some \epsilon > 0$$

(1.3)
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\psi(x-y) - \psi(x)| \, dx \le c|y|^{\epsilon} \quad \text{for some} \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

then it is known that the operator S is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ (see Benedek, Calderón and Panzone [1]). Well-known examples are as follows.

Example 1. Let $P_t(x)$ be the Poisson kernel for the upper half space $\mathbf{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$:

$$P_t(x) = c_n \frac{t}{(|x|^2 + t^2)^{(n+1)/2}}.$$

Put

$$\psi(x) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(x)\right)_{t=1}.$$

Then, $S_{\psi}(f)$ is the Littlewood-Paley g function.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B25.

Example 2. Consider the Haar function ψ on \mathbf{R} :

$$\psi(x) = \chi_{[-1,0]}(x) - \chi_{[0,1]}(x),$$

where χ_E denotes the characteristic function of a set E. Then, $S_{\psi}(f)$ is the Marcinkiewicz integral

$$\mu(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F(x+t) + F(x-t) - 2F(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3}\right)^{1/2},$$

where $F(x) = \int_0^x f(y) dy$.

In this note, we shall prove that the L^p -boundedness of S still holds without the assumption (1.3); the conditions (1.1) and (1.2) only are sufficient. This has been already known for the L^2 -case (see Coifman and Meyer [3, p. 148], and also Journé [7, pp. 81-82] for a proof).

To state our result more precisely, we consider the least non-increasing radial majorant of ψ

$$h_{\psi}(|x|) = \sup_{|y| \ge |x|} |\psi(y)|.$$

We also need to consider two seminorms

$$B_{\epsilon}(\psi) = \int_{|x|>1} |\psi(x)| |x|^{\epsilon} dx$$
 for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$D_u(\psi) = \left(\int_{|x|<1} |\psi(x)|^u dx \right)^{1/u}$$
 for $u > 1$.

We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 1. Put $H_{\psi}(x) = h_{\psi}(|x|)$. If $\psi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies (1.1) and

- (1) $B_{\epsilon}(\psi) < \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$;
- (2) $D_u(\psi) < \infty$ for some u > 1;
- (3) $H_{\psi} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{R}^{n})$;

then the operator S_{ψ} is bounded on L^p_w :

$$||S_{\psi}(f)||_{L_{\infty}^{p}} \leq C_{p,w} ||f||_{L_{\infty}^{p}}$$

for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$, where A_p denotes the weight class of Muckenhoupt (see [6,7]), and

$$||f||_{L_w^p} = ||f||_{L^p(w)} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

In fact, we shall prove a more general result.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\psi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies (1.1) and

- (1) $B_{\epsilon}(\psi) < \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$;
- (2) $D_u(\psi) < \infty$ for some u > 1;
- (3) $|\psi(x)| \le h(|x|)\Omega(x')$ $(x' = |x|^{-1}x)$ for some non-negative functions h and Ω such that
- (a) h(r) is non-increasing for $r \in (0, \infty)$;
- (b) if $H(x) = h(|x|), H \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$;
- (c) $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some $q, 2 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Then, the operator S_{ψ} is bounded on L_w^p for p > q' and $w \in A_{p/q'}$, where q' denotes the conjugate exponent of q.

When ψ is compactly supported, we have another formulation, which is not included in Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Suppose that $\psi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies (1.1) and

- (1) ψ is compactly supported;
- (2) $\psi \in L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for some $q \geq 2$.

Then $S_{\psi}: L_w^p \to L_w^p$ for p > q' and $w \in A_{p/q'}$.

These results will be derived from more abstract ones. Let $\psi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfy (1.1). We also assume the following:

(1) There exists $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ such that

(1.4)
$$\int_{1}^{2} |\hat{\psi}(t\xi)|^{2} dt \leq c \min(|\xi|^{\epsilon}, |\xi|^{-\epsilon}) \quad \text{for all} \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n},$$

where $\hat{\psi}$ denotes the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\psi}(\xi) = \int \psi(x) e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} dx, \qquad \langle x, \xi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \xi_j \quad \text{(the inner product in } \mathbf{R}^n\text{)}.$$

(2) Let $1 \le s \le 2$. For all $w \in A_s$, we have

(1.5)
$$\sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_1^2 |\psi_{t2^k} \star f(x)|^2 dt \, w(x) \, dx \le C_w ||f||_{L_w^2}^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) ,$$

where **Z** denotes the integer group and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the Schwartz space.

Under these assumptions the following holds.

Proposition 1. For p > 2/s and $w \in A_{ps/2}$, the operator S_{ψ} is bounded on L_w^p .

This will be used to prove the next result.

Proposition 2. Put

$$J_{\epsilon}(\psi) = \sup_{|\xi|=1} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n} |\psi(x)\psi(y)| \left| \langle \xi, x - y \rangle \right|^{-\epsilon} dx \, dy \qquad \text{for} \quad \epsilon \in (0, 1].$$

Let $\psi \in L^1$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.5). Then if $B_{\epsilon}(\psi) < \infty$ and $J_{\epsilon}(\psi) < \infty$ for some $\epsilon \in (0,1]$, the operator S_{ψ} is bounded on L^p_w for p > 2/s and $w \in A_{ps/2}$.

In §2, we shall prove Proposition 1 by the method of the proof of Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia [5, Corollary 4.2] and then Proposition 2 by using Proposition

1. Proposition 2 will be applied to prove Theorems 2 and 3 in §3. Finally, in §4, we shall give some applications of Theorem 1 to generalized Marcinkiewicz integrals and the Carleson measures on the upper half space $\mathbf{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$.

To conclude this section, we state a result for the L^2 -case, from which the result of Coifman-Meyer mentioned above immediately follows, and an idea of the proof will be applied later too (see the proof of Lemma 2).

Proposition 3. Suppose that $\psi \in L^1$ satisfies (1.1). Let

$$L(\psi) = \sup_{|\xi|=1} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n} |\psi(x)\psi(y)| |\log |\langle \xi, x - y \rangle| | dx dy.$$

Then, if $L(\psi) < \infty$, the operator S_{ψ} is bounded on L^2 .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_0^\infty \left| \hat{\psi}(t\xi) \right|^2 \, \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

We write

$$|\hat{\psi}(t\xi)|^2 = \hat{\psi}(t\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}(t\xi)} = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n} \psi(x)\overline{\psi(y)}e^{-2\pi it\langle \xi, x - y \rangle} \, dx \, dy,$$

and so

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \hat{\psi}(t\xi) \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} = \lim_{N \to \infty, \epsilon \to 0} \iint \psi(x) \overline{\psi(y)} \left(\int_{\epsilon}^N e^{-2\pi i t \langle \xi, x - y \rangle} \frac{dt}{t} \right) dx \, dy.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{N} \left(e^{-2\pi i t \langle \xi, x - y \rangle} - \cos(2\pi t) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \to -\log|\langle \xi, x - y \rangle| - i \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn}\langle \xi, x - y \rangle$$

as $N \to \infty$ and $\epsilon \to 0$, and the integral is bounded, uniformly in ϵ and N, by

$$c\left(1+\left|\log\left|\left\langle \xi,x-y\right\rangle\right|\right|\right)$$
.

Thus, using (1.1) and the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left| \hat{\psi}(t\xi) \right|^{2} \frac{dt}{t} = \iint \psi(x) \overline{\psi(y)} \left(-\log \left| \langle \xi, x - y \rangle \right| - i \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \langle \xi, x - y \rangle \right) dx dy.$$

This immediately implies the conclusion.

Remark. In the one-dimensional case, it is easy to see that if

$$\int |\psi(x)| \log(2+|x|) dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int |\psi(x)| \log(2+|\psi(x)|) dx < \infty,$$

then $L(\psi) < \infty$, and so $S_{\psi} : L^2 \to L^2$.

2. Proofs of Propositions 1 and 2

We use a Littlewood-Paley decomposition. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and define

$$\widehat{\Delta_j(f)}(\xi) = \Psi(2^j \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$$
 for $j \in \mathbf{Z}$,

where $\Psi \in C^{\infty}$ is supported in $\{1/2 \le |\xi| \le 2\}$ and satisfies

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \Psi(2^j \xi) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

Decompose

$$f \star \psi_t(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \Delta_{j+k} (f \star \psi_t)(x) \chi_{[2^k, 2^{k+1})}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} F_j(x, t), \text{ say,}$$

and define

$$T_j(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F_j(x,t)|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$S(f)(x) \le \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} T_j(f)(x).$$

Put $E_j = \{2^{-1-j} \le |\xi| \le 2^{1-j}\}$. Then by the Plancherel theorem and (1.4) we have

$$||T_{j}(f)||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}} |\Delta_{j+k} (f \star \psi_{t}) (x)|^{2} \frac{dt}{t} dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} c \int_{E_{j+k}} \left(\int_{2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}} \left| \hat{\psi}(t\xi) \right|^{2} \frac{dt}{t} \right) \left| \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^{2} d\xi$$

$$\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} c \int_{E_{j+k}} \min \left(|2^{k} \xi|^{\epsilon}, |2^{k} \xi|^{-\epsilon} \right) \left| \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^{2} d\xi$$

$$\leq c 2^{-\epsilon|j|} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{E_{j+k}} \left| \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^{2} d\xi$$

$$\leq c 2^{-\epsilon|j|} ||f||_{2}^{2},$$

where the last inequality holds since the sets E_j are finitely overlapping. (We denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the ordinary L^p -norm.)

On the other hand, for $w \in A_s$ by (1.5) we see that

$$||T_{j}(f)||_{L_{w}^{2}}^{2} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}} |\Delta_{j+k}(f) \star \psi_{t}(x)|^{2} \frac{dt}{t} w(x) dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} c \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} |\Delta_{j+k}(f)(x)|^{2} w(x) dx$$

$$\leq c ||f||_{L_{w}^{2}}^{2},$$

where the last inequality follows from a well-known Littlewood-Paley inequality for L_w^2 since $A_s \subset A_2$.

Interpolating with change of measures between the two estimates above, we get

$$||T_j(f)||_{L^2(w^u)} \le c2^{-\epsilon(1-u)|j|/2} ||f||_{L^2(w^u)}$$

for $u \in (0,1)$. If we choose u (close to 1) so that $w^{1/u} \in A_s$, then from this inequality we get

$$||T_j(f)||_{L_w^2} \le c2^{-\epsilon(1-u)|j|/2} ||f||_{L_w^2},$$

and so

$$||S(f)||_{L_w^2} \le \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} ||T_j(f)||_{L_w^2} \le c||f||_{L_w^2}.$$

Thus the extrapolation theorem of Rubio de Francia [8] implies the conclusion. To derive Proposition 2 from Proposition 1 we prepare the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $\psi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies (1.1) and $B_{\epsilon}(\psi) < \infty$ for $\epsilon \in (0,1]$, then

$$|\hat{\psi}(\xi)| \le c|\xi|^{\epsilon}$$
 for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Proof. Since $a \leq a^{\epsilon}$ for $a, \epsilon \in (0, 1]$, we see that

$$|\hat{\psi}(\xi)| = \left| \int \psi(x) \left(e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} - 1 \right) dx \right| \le c \int |\psi(x)| \min(1, |\langle x, \xi \rangle|) dx$$
$$\le c|\xi|^{\epsilon} \int |\psi(x)| |x|^{\epsilon} dx.$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2. If $\psi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $J_{\epsilon}(\psi) < \infty$ for $\epsilon \in (0,1]$, then

$$\int_{1}^{2} |\hat{\psi}(t\xi)|^{2} dt \le c|\xi|^{-\epsilon} \quad \text{for all} \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3, we see that

$$\int_{1}^{2} |\hat{\psi}(t\xi)|^{2} dt = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}} \psi(x) \overline{\psi(y)} \frac{e^{-4\pi i \langle \xi, x - y \rangle} - e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi, x - y \rangle}}{-2\pi i \langle \xi, x - y \rangle} dx dy.$$

Thus

$$\int_{1}^{2} |\hat{\psi}(t\xi)|^{2} dt \leq c \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}} |\psi(x)\psi(y)| \min \left(1, |\langle \xi, x - y \rangle|^{-1}\right) dx dy$$
$$\leq c J_{\epsilon}(\psi) |\xi|^{-\epsilon}.$$

This completes the proof.

Now, we can see that Proposition 1 implies Proposition 2, since the condition (1.4) follows from Lemmas 1 and 2.

3. Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

To get Theorem 2 from Proposition 2 we need Lemmas 3 and 4 below. First, we give a sufficient condition for $J_{\epsilon}(\psi) < \infty$.

Lemma 3. Let h(r), $h \geq 0$, be a non-increasing function for r > 0 satisfying $H \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where H(x) = h(|x|), and let $\Omega \in L^v(S^{n-1})$, v > 1, $\Omega \geq 0$. Suppose that F is a non-negative function such that

$$F(x) \le h(|x|)\Omega(x')$$
 for $|x| > 1$

and $D_u(F) < \infty$ for u > 1. Then $J_{\epsilon}(F) < \infty$ if $\epsilon < \min(1/u', 1/v')$.

Proof. For non-negative functions f, g and $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ put

$$L_{\epsilon}(f, g; \xi) = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n} f(x)g(y) \left| \langle \xi, x - y \rangle \right|^{-\epsilon} dx dy.$$

Decompose F as F = E + G, where E(x) = F(x) if |x| < 1 and E(x) = 0 otherwise. Then

$$L_{\epsilon}(F, F; \xi) = L_{\epsilon}(E, E; \xi) + 2L_{\epsilon}(E, G; \xi) + L_{\epsilon}(G, G; \xi).$$

We show that each of $L_{\epsilon}(E, E; \xi)$, $L_{\epsilon}(E, G; \xi)$ and $L_{\epsilon}(G, G; \xi)$ is bounded by a constant independent of ξ if $\epsilon < \min(1/u', 1/v')$.

First, by Hölder's inequality and a change of variables

$$L_{\epsilon}(E, E; \xi) \le ||E||_{u}^{2} \left(\iint_{|x|<1, |y|<1} |x_{1}-y_{1}|^{-\epsilon u'} dx dy \right)^{1/u'},$$

where we note that $||E||_u = D_u(F)$.

Next, by Hölder's inequality again

$$L_{\epsilon}(E,G;\xi) \leq ||E||_{u} \left(\int_{|x|<1} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} G(y) |x_{1} - \langle \xi, y \rangle|^{-\epsilon} dy \right)^{u'} dx \right)^{1/u'}.$$

For s > 0, let

$$I_{\epsilon}(s) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |x_1 - \langle \xi, s\omega \rangle|^{-\epsilon} \Omega(\omega) \, d\sigma(\omega)$$

for fixed x_1 and ξ , where $d\sigma$ denotes the Lebesgue surface measure of S^{n-1} (when n = 1, let $\sigma(\{1\}) = \sigma(\{-1\}) = 1$). Then by Hölder's inequality

$$I_{\epsilon}(s) \le \left(N_{\epsilon v'}(s)\right)^{1/v'} \|\Omega\|_{v},$$

where

$$N_{\epsilon}(s) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |x_1 - s\omega_1|^{-\epsilon} d\sigma(\omega).$$

Thus, using Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} G(y) \, |x_1 - \langle \xi, y \rangle|^{-\epsilon} \, \, dy &\leq \int_0^\infty h(s) s^{n-1} I_{\epsilon}(s) \, ds \\ &\leq \|\Omega\|_v \int_0^\infty h(s) s^{n-1} \left(N_{\epsilon v'}(s) \right)^{1/v'} \, ds \\ &\leq c \|H\|_1^{1/v} \|\Omega\|_v \left(\int_0^\infty h(s) s^{n-1} N_{\epsilon v'}(s) \, ds \right)^{1/v'} \\ &= c \|H\|_1^{1/v} \|\Omega\|_v \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h(|y|) \, |x_1 - y_1|^{-\epsilon v'} \, dy \right)^{1/v'} \, . \end{split}$$

Therefore, the desired estimate for $L_{\epsilon}(E,G;\xi)$ follows if we show that

(4.1)
$$\sup_{x_1 \in \mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h(|y|) |x_1 - y_1|^{-\epsilon v'} dy < \infty.$$

To see this, we split the domain of the integration as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h(|y|) |x_1 - y_1|^{-\epsilon v'} dy = \int_{|x_1 - y_1| < 1} h(|y|) |x_1 - y_1|^{-\epsilon v'} dy$$

$$+ \int_{|x_1 - y_1| > 1} h(|y|) |x_1 - y_1|^{-\epsilon v'} dy$$

$$= I_1 + I_2, \quad \text{say.}$$

Clearly $I_2 \leq ||H||_1$. To estimate I_1 we may assume that $n \geq 2$; the case n = 1 can be easily disposed of since h is bounded. We need further splitting of the domain of the integration. We write $y = (y_1, y'), y' \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$. Then

$$I_{1} = \int_{\substack{|x_{1}-y_{1}|<1\\|y'|<1\\|x_{1}-y_{2}|<1\\|y'|>1\\|x_{1}-y_{2}|<1\\|y'|>1\\|x_{1}-y_{2}|<1\\|y'|>1\\|x_{1}-y_{2}|<1\\|y'|>1\\|x_{1}-y_{2}|<1\\|y'|>1\\|x_{1}-y_{2}|<1\\|y'|>1\\|x_{1}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{1}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<1\\|x_{2}-y_{2}|<$$

It is easy to see that

$$I_3 \le ||H||_{\infty} \int_{|y| < 2} |y_1|^{-\epsilon v'} dy < \infty.$$

Next, since $h(|y|) \le h(|y'|)$,

$$I_4 \le \int_{|y_1|<1} |y_1|^{-\epsilon v'} dy_1 \int_{|y'|>1} h(|y'|) dy'$$

$$\le c \int_{|y_1|<1} |y_1|^{-\epsilon v'} dy_1 \int_{|y|>1} h(|y|) dy < \infty.$$

It remains to estimate $L_{\epsilon}(G,G;\xi)$. Note that

$$(4.2) L_{\epsilon}(G,G;\xi) \leq \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} h(r)h(s)r^{n-1}s^{n-1}I_{\epsilon}(r,s) dr ds,$$

where

$$I_{\epsilon}(r,s) = \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1}} |\langle \xi, r\theta - s\omega \rangle|^{-\epsilon} \Omega(\theta) \Omega(\omega) \, d\sigma(\theta) \, d\sigma(\omega).$$

By Hölder's inequality

(4.3)
$$I_{\epsilon}(r,s) \le (N_{\epsilon v'}(r,s))^{1/v'} \|\Omega\|_{v}^{2},$$

where

$$N_{\epsilon}(r,s) = \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1}} |r\theta_1 - s\omega_1|^{-\epsilon} d\sigma(\theta) d\sigma(\omega).$$

Using the estimate (4.3) in (4.2) and then applying Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$L_{\epsilon}(G,G;\xi) \leq c \|H\|_{1}^{2/v} \|\Omega\|_{v}^{2} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} N_{\epsilon v'}(r,s) h(r) h(s) r^{n-1} s^{n-1} dr ds \right)^{1/v'}$$
$$= c \|H\|_{1}^{2/v} \|\Omega\|_{v}^{2} \left(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}} h(|x|) h(|y|) |x_{1} - y_{1}|^{-\epsilon v'} dx dy \right)^{1/v'}.$$

Therefore, the desired estimates follows again from (4.1). This completes the proof.

For a non-negative function Ω on S^{n-1} we define a non-isotropic Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

$$M_{\Omega}(f)(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{-n} \int_{|y|< r} |f(x-y)| \Omega(|y|^{-1}y) \, dy.$$

To prove Theorem 2 we also need the following (see Duoandikoetxea [4]).

Lemma 4. If $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$, $q \geq 2$, and $w \in A_{2/q'}$, then M_{Ω} is bounded on L^2_w .

Now we can prove Theorem 2. As in Stein [10, pp. 63-64], we can show that

$$\sup_{t>0} |\psi_t \star f(x)| \le c \, M_{\Omega}(f).$$

So, by Lemma 4 we see that the condition (1.5) holds for ψ of Theorem 2 with s = 2/q'.

Next, applying Lemma 3, we see that $J_{\epsilon}(\psi) < \infty$ for $\epsilon < \min(1/u', 1/q')$ (note that h(r) of Theorem 2 (3) is bounded for $r \ge 1$). Combining these facts with the assumption in Theorem 2 (1), we can apply Proposition 2 to reach the conclusion.

Finally, we give the proof of Theorem 3. Clearly $B_1(\psi) < \infty$, and $J_{1/(2q')}(\psi) < \infty$ by applying Lemma 3 suitably. Therefore, the conclusion follows from Proposition 2 if we show that the condition (1.5) holds with s = 2/q'. But, for q > 2 this is a consequence of the inequality

$$\sup_{t>0} |\psi_t \star f(x)| \le c M(|f|^{q'})^{1/q'},$$

where M denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. (This inequality is easily seen by Hölder's inequality.)

To prove the condition (1.5) when q=2 and $w \in A_1$, we may assume that ψ is supported in $\{|x|<1\}$. Then by Schwarz's inequality

$$|\psi_t \star f(x)|^2 \le t^{-n} \|\psi\|_2^2 \int_{|y| < t} |f(x - y)|^2 dy.$$

Integrating with the measure w(x) dx and using a property of the A_1 -weight function, we get

$$\int |\psi_t \star f(x)|^2 w(x) \, dx \le \|\psi\|_2^2 \int |f(y)|^2 t^{-n} \int_{|x-y| < t} w(x) \, dx \, dy$$
$$\le C_w \|\psi\|_2^2 \int |f(y)|^2 w(y) \, dy$$

uniformly in t. From this the desired inequality follows.

4. Applications

It is to be noted that Theorem 1 can be applied to study the L^p_w -boundedness of generalized Marcinkiewicz integrals.

Corollary 1. For $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$\psi(x) = |x|^{-n+\epsilon} \Omega(x') \chi_{(0,1]}(|x|),$$

where $\Omega \in L^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$ and $\int \Omega(x') d\sigma(x') = 0$. Define a Marcinkiewicz integral

$$\mu(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |\psi_t \star f(x)|^2 \, \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, the operator μ is bounded on L_w^p for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$:

$$\|\mu(f)\|_{L_w^p} \le C_{p,w} \|f\|_{L_w^p}.$$

This result, in particular, removes the Lipschitz condition assumed for Ω in Stein [9, Theorem 1 (2)].

Next, we consider applications to the Carleson measures on the upper half spaces.

Corollary 2. Suppose $\psi \in L^1$ satisfies (1.1) and

$$|\psi(x)| \le c(1+|x|)^{-n-\epsilon}$$
 for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Take $b \in BMO$ and $w \in A_2$. Then the measure

$$d\nu(x,t) = |\psi_t \star b(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} w(x) dx$$

on the upper half space $\mathbf{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ is a Carleson measure with respect to the measure w(x) dx, that is,

$$\nu(S(Q)) \le C_w ||b||_{BMO}^2 \int_Q w(x) \, dx$$

for all cubes Q in \mathbb{R}^n , where

$$S(Q) = \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times (0, \infty) : x \in Q, 0 < t \le \ell(Q)\},\$$

with $\ell(Q)$ denoting sidelength of Q.

This can be proved by using L_w^2 -boundedness of the operator S_{ψ} (see Theorem 1) as in Journé [7, Chap. 6 III, pp. 85–87]. In [7], a similar result has been proved with an additional assumption on the gradient of ψ .

Arguing as in [7, Chap. 6 III, p. 87], by Corollary 2 we can get the following.

Corollary 3. Let ψ and b be as in Corollary 2. Suppose φ satisfies

$$|\varphi(x)| \le c(1+|x|)^{-n-\delta}$$

for $\delta > 0$. Then, the sublinear operator

$$T_b(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |\psi_t \star b(x)|^2 |\varphi_t \star f(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/2}$$

is bounded on L_w^p for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$:

$$||T_b(f)||_{L_w^p} \le C_{p,w} ||b||_{BMO} ||f||_{L_w^p}.$$

Here again we don't need the assumption on the gradient of ψ . See Coifman and Meyer [3, p. 149] for the L^2 -case.

Corollary 4. Suppose $\eta \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1 for ψ . Let ψ , φ and b be as in Corollary 3, and define a paraproduct

$$\pi_b(f)(x) = \int_0^\infty \eta_t \star ((\psi_t \star b) (\varphi_t \star f)) (x) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Then, the operator π_b is bounded on L^p_w for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$:

$$\|\pi_b(f)\|_{L_w^p} \le C_{p,w} \|b\|_{BMO} \|f\|_{L_w^p}.$$

Proof. Let $g \in L^2(w^{-1})$, $w \in A_2$. Then, since $w^{-1} \in A_2$, by Schwarz's inequality, Theorem 1 and Corollary 3, for 0 < u < v, we see that

$$\left| \int \int_{u}^{v} \eta_{t} \star ((\psi_{t} \star b) (\varphi_{t} \star f)) (x) \frac{dt}{t} g(x) dx \right|$$

$$\leq \left(\int \int_{u}^{v} |\tilde{\eta}_{t} \star g(x)|^{2} \frac{dt}{t} w^{-1}(x) dx \right)^{1/2} ||T_{b}(f)||_{L^{2}(w)}$$

$$\leq C_{w} ||b||_{BMO} ||g||_{L^{2}(w^{-1})} ||f||_{L^{2}(w)},$$

where $\tilde{\eta}(x) = \eta(-x)$. From this estimate we can see that $\pi_b(f)$ is well-defined (see Christ [2, III, §3]). Taking the supremum over g with $||g||_{L^2(w^{-1})} \leq 1$, we get the L_w^2 -boundedness, and so the extrapolation theorem of Rubio de Francia implies the conclusion. This completes the proof.

See Coifman and Meyer [3, p. 149, PROPOSITION 1] for a similar result in the L^2 -case.

References

- A. Benedek, A. P. Calderón and R. Panzone, Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 48 (1962), 356–365.
- M. Christ, Lectures on singular integral operators, Regional conference series in mathematics, no. 77, Amer. Math. Soc., 1990.
- R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, Astérisque no. 57, Soc. Math. France, 1978.

- J. Duoandikoetxea, Weighted norm inequalities for homogeneous singular integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 336 (1993), 869–880.
- J. Duoandikoetxea and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 541–561.
- J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, North-Holland, 1985.
- 7. J.-L. Journé, Calderón-Zygmund operators, pseudo-differential operators and the Cauchy integral of Calderón, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 994, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- 8. J.L. Rubio de Francia, Factorization theory and A_p weights, Amer. J. Math. 106 (1984), 533-547.
- E. M. Stein, On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin, and Marcinkiewicz, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), 430–466.
- E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF EDUCATION KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY KANAZAWA, 920-11 JAPAN

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \mathtt{shuichi@kenroku.ipc.kanazawa-u.ac.jp}$